Lower *mgpB* diversity in macrolide-resistant *Mycoplasma genitalium* infecting men visiting two sexually transmitted infection clinics in Montpellier, France

Jennifer Guiraud^{1,2,3}, Manon Lounnas^{4,5}, Anne Boissière^{4,5}, Chloé Le Roy^{1,2}, Eric Elguero⁵, Anne Laure Banuls⁵, Cécile Bébéar^{1,2,3}, Sylvain Godreuil^{4,6}† and Sabine Pereyre (1)^{1,2,3*†}

¹Univ. Bordeaux, USC-EA 3671 Mycoplasmal and Chlamydial Infections in Humans, Bordeaux, France; ²INRA, USC-EA 3671 Mycoplasmal and Chlamydial Infections in Humans, Bordeaux, France; ³CHU Bordeaux, Bacteriology Department, French National Reference Centre for Bacterial STI, Bordeaux, France; ⁴Laboratoire de Bactériologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Montpellier, Montpellier, France; ⁵MIVEGEC, IRD, CNRS, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France; ⁶Centre de Recherche en Ecologie et Evolution de la Santé (CREES), Montpellier, France

*Corresponding author. Present address: USC EA 3671 Mycoplasmal and Chlamydial Infections in Humans, University of Bordeaux, Campus Bordeaux Carreire, 146 rue Léo Saignat, 33076 Bordeaux Cedex, France. E-mail: sabine.pereyre@u-bordeaux.fr †Equal contribution to the article.

Received 12 June 2020; accepted 4 September 2020

Objectives: Men engaged in high-risk sexual behaviour, such as MSM, are likely to be infected by resistant *Mycoplasma genitalium* strains. Understanding the transmission dynamics is challenging. We aimed to investigate the molecular epidemiology of *M. genitalium* in men visiting sexually transmitted infection (STI) clinics.

Patients and methods: Between June 2017 and February 2018, 95 *M. genitalium*-positive specimens from 78 men, including 76.9% MSM, visiting two STI clinics in Montpellier, France, were analysed for SNPs in the *mgpB* adhesin gene and number of tandem repeats in the *MG_309* gene. Macrolide and fluoroquinolone resistance were determined. Typing results were compared with antibiotic resistance, sexual behaviour, sampling site, HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) usage and HIV status.

Results: Thirty-eight *mgpB* STs were identified, including 23 new STs, with ST4 being most prevalent. The *mgpB/ MG_309* typing method identified 52 genetic profiles, resulting in a discriminatory index of 0.979. Macrolide and fluoroquinolone resistance-associated mutations were detected in 58.3% and 10.8% of patients, respectively. The macrolide resistance rate was higher among MSM than among men who have sex with women only (68.4% versus 9.1%; adjusted OR, 1.57; 95% CI, 1.13–2.18; P = 0.007). A lower *mgpB* diversity of 0.870 was found among macrolide-resistant strains in comparison with 0.978 in macrolide-susceptible strains, with an overrepresentation of *mgpB* ST62 and ST153.

Conclusions: Although macrolide resistance spread appears polyclonal in *M. genitalium*, the lower diversity of *mgpB* types among macrolide-resistant strains may reflect the easier spread of a few specific *mgpB* types or the occurrence of sexual networks among MSM.

Introduction

Mycoplasma genitalium is a sexually transmitted pathogen that has recently been added to the 'watch list' of CDC antibiotic resistance threats because of a worrying increase in macrolide and fluoroquinolone resistance worldwide.^{1–4} People who engage in high-risk sexual behaviour and who have a high exposure to antibiotics, such as MSM, HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) users and HIV-positive patients, are more likely to be infected by resistant *M. genitalium*.^{4–7} In these populations, elucidating the transmission dynamics is challenging. Epidemiological studies of *M. genitalium* genotypes are mainly based on *mgpB* adhesin gene SNP typing analyses,^{8,9} which have suitable discriminatory power, reproducibility and stability. Combination analysis with a variablenumber tandem-repeat (VNTR) marker in the *MG_309* locus is useful to investigate transmission networks.¹⁰⁻¹² We investigated the molecular epidemiology of *M. genitalium* in men visiting sexually transmitted infection (STIs) clinics, using both the *mgpB* and *MG_309* typing methods, and compared the typing results with the occurrence of macrolide and fluoroquinolone resistance-associated mutations and the sexual behaviours of patients.

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

Patients and methods

This retrospective study included men who attended two STI clinics in Montpellier, France, between June 2017 and February 2018: the free information centre for screening and diagnosis of STI (CeGIDD) and the infectious and tropical disease department of University Hospital of Montpellier. Overall, 95*M. genitalium*-positive samples from 78 men were studied, including 15 follow-up samples from 13 patients (Table 1) and two concurrent samples from two patients (Figure 1). Specimens included 60% (57/95) urines, 37.9% (36/95) rectal swabs and 2.1% (2/95) throat swabs. The population included 76.9% (60/78) MSM, 24.4% (19/78) HIV-positive patients and 20.5% (16/78) PrEP users (Figure 1).

Remnants of *M. genitalium*-positive specimens detected using the S-DiaMGTV kit (Diagenode Diagnostics, Belgium) were stored at -80°C. Macrolide and fluoroquinolone resistance-associated mutations were

detected in the 23S rRNA and *par*C gene, respectively.¹³ Molecular typing was performed by combining the characterization of SNPs in a 281 bp fragment of the *mgpB* adhesin gene⁸⁻¹² and VNTR in the putative lipoprotein gene *MG*_309.^{10,14} Nucleotide sequences of the *mgpB* gene were analysed using MEGA (version 7.0) and compared with that of the reference *M. genitalium* G37 strain and with the 168 *mgpB* sequences previously described.^{12,15} Genetic data were analysed using FSTAT software,¹⁶ and the discriminatory index was calculated.¹⁰ Age, sexual behaviour, sampling site, PrEP usage and HIV status were collected anonymously.

Results

Overall, 38 *mgpB* STs were identified (Figure 1), with a gene diversity of 0.927, and 23 new types were deposited in GenBank

Table 1. Comparison of the genetic and resistance profile of M. genitalium collected from 13 patients in first and follow-up samples

| Patient no. | Sample no. | Sampling site | Sampling date | Time between samples (days) | Genetic characterization | | | Resistance profile | |
|----------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | GenBank no. of <i>mgpB</i> type ^a | <i>mgpВ</i> type | MG_309 type ^b | 23S rRNA mutation (Escherichia coli numbering) | ParC mutation (M. genitalium numbering) |
| 232 | 232-T1-U | urine | 28 July 2017 | 87 | MN387732 | 153 | 15 | A2058G | WT |
| | 232-T2-U | urine | 23 Oct 2017 | | MN387732 | 153 | 15 | A2058G | WT |
| 234 | 234-T1-U | urine | 2 Aug 2017 | 92/90 | GU226227 | 25 | 10 | WT | WT |
| | 234-T2-U | urine | 2 Nov 2017 | | GU226206 | 3 | 13 | A2058G | Ser83Ile |
| | 234-T3-U | urine | 31 Jan 2018 | | GU226206 | 3 | 13 | A2058G | Ser83Ile |
| 239 | 239-T1-U | urine | 1 Aug 2017 | 85 | GU226205 | 2 | 10 | NA | Ser83Ile |
| | 239-T2-U | urine | 25 Oct 2017 | | GU226205 | 2 | 10 | A2059G | WT |
| 244 | 244-T1-A | rectum | 4 Sept 2017 | 42 | GU226207 | 4 | NA | NA | nd |
| | 244-T2-A | rectum | 16 Oct 2017 | | GU226207 | 4 | 9 | A2059G | WT |
| 246 | 246-T1-A | rectum | 28 Jun 2017 | 216 | GU226207 | 4 | 14 | A2059G | WT |
| | 246-T2-A | rectum | 30 Jan 2018 | | GU226207 | 4 | 14 | A2059G | WT |
| 255 | 255-T1-U | urine | 22 Sept 2017 | 36/97 | MT327190* | 175 | 9 | A2058G | WT |
| | 255-T2-U | urine | 28 Oct 2017 | 50,57 | MT327190* | 175 | 9 | A2058G | WT |
| | 255-T3-U | urine | 2 Feb 2018 | | MT327190* | 175 | 9 | NA | nd |
| 259 | 259-T1-U | urine | 13 Jun 2017 | 170 | MN387732 | 153 | 12 | A2058G | WT |
| | 259-T2-U | urine | 30 Nov 2017 | 170 | MN387732 | 153 | 12 | A2058G | WT |
| 263 | 263-T1-A | rectum | 30 Oct 2017 | 93 | MT327181* | 166 | 8 | A2059G | WT |
| | 263-T2-A | rectum | 2 Feb 2018 | 55 | MT327181* | 166 | 8 | A2059G | WT |
| 266 | 266-T1-U | urine | 3 Jan 2018 | 42 | MT327195* | 180 | 13 | NA | Nd |
| | 266-T2-U | urine | 14 Feb 2018 | 12 | MT327195* | 180 | 13 | A2059G | Ala119Pro ^c |
| 278 | 278-T1-U | urine | 27 Sept 2017 | 112 | MT327184* | 169 | 12 | A2059G | WT |
| | 278-T2-U | urine | 17 Jan 2018 | 112 | MT327184* | 169 | 12 | A2059G | WT |
| 283 | 283-T1-U | urine | 5 July 2017 | 29 | KU856547 | 105 | 13 | A2059G | Ser83Ile |
| | 283-T2-U | urine | 3 Aug 2017 | 25 | KU856547 | 108 | 13 | A2059G | Ser83Ile |
| 289 | 289-T1-U | urine | 6 Jun 2017 | 65 | FJ750828 | 62 | 15 | A2059G | WT |
| | 289-T2-U | urine | 10 Aug 2017 | 05 | FJ750828 | 62 | 13 | A2059G | WT |
| 82 | 82-T1-A | rectum | 18 Oct 2017 | 105 | GU226207 | 4 | NA | A2059G | WT |
| 02 | 82-T2-A | rectum | 31 Jan 2018 | 105 | GU226207 | 4 | NA | NA | nd |

NA, no amplification; nd, not determined.

*New mgpB genotypes reported in this study were numbered from 161 to 183 (accession numbers MT327176 to MT327198).

Changes of genotype and/or resistance profile between first and follow-up samples from the same patient are in bold.

^aGenBank accession numbers are those assigned to the corresponding *mgpB* adhesin gene fragment.

^bNumber of tandem repeats in MG_309.

^cThe Ala119Pro mutation is far from the QRDR and is probably not associated with fluoroquinolone resistance.



Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jac/article/76/1/43/5930856 by guest on 08 March 2021

Figure 1. Maximum likelihood tree using the *mgpB*-based single-locus-sequence-based typing of 95*M. genitalium* isolates from 78 infected men. The tree was constructed with IQ-TREE (version 1.6.11) software using an HKY+F + I model. Branch support values were generated from 1000 boot-strap replicates. The phylogenetic tree was annotated using iTOL (version 5). The *M. genitalium* G37 strain sequence was used as a reference sequence (accession number NC_000908.2). Sample numbers were composed of the patient number, the visit number and the anatomical collection site of the sample (T0 corresponds to a single visit, and T1, T2 and T3 correspond to subsequent visits). Single specimens are shown in black, first and follow-up specimens from the same patients are shown in bold, and concurrent specimens from Patients 234 and 274 are italicized. NA, no amplification. MSM are represented by blue squares, MSW are represented by open blue squares, HIV-positive patients are represented by red squares, HIV-negative patients are represented by open red squares, respectively, WT isolates are represented by open green or/and purple squares, and no symbol means that data were unavailable. In this study, only one MSW was HIV positive and all PrEP users were MSM. This figure appears in colour in the online version of *JAC* and in black and white in the print version of *JAC*.

(accession numbers MT327176–MT327198). Removing concurrent and subsequent samples, the most prevalent STs were ST4 (19/80; 23.8%), ST153 (7/80; 8.8%), ST62 (6/80; 7.5%), ST2 (5/80; 6.3%) and ST180 (4/80; 5%). Amplicons of the MG 309 locus were obtained for 74 samples (77.9%) from 63 men. The mgpB/MG 309 typing method identified 52 different genotypes from 74 M. genitalium strains, resulting in a discriminatory index of 0.979. Both concurrent specimens from Patients 234 and 274 harboured the same genotype (Figure 1). With regard to the 13 patients providing subsequent specimens, identical genotypes were found in 11 (84.6%) patients, suggesting the persistence of M. genitalium infection (Table 1). In Patient 289, only the MG 309 type changed after 65 days, with no evolution of the resistance profile, but infection by a new strain could not be excluded. In Patient 234, both the mgpB and the MG 309 types changed after 92 days, with a change in the resistance profile, suggesting a new *M. genitalium* infection.

Investigation of macrolide and fluoroguinolone resistance was successful for 72 (92.3%) and 74 (94.9%) patients, respectively (Table S1, available as Supplementary data at JAC Online). Macrolide and fluoroquinolone resistance-associated mutations were detected in 58.3% (42/72) and 10.8% (8/74) of patients, respectively. The A2059G and Ser83Ile mutations were the most frequent, in 66.7% (28/42) and 87.5% (7/8) of patients harbouring resistance-associated mutations, respectively. The rate of macrolide resistance was significantly higher among MSM than among men who have sex with women only (MSW) [68.4% versus 9.1%, adjusted OR (aOR), 1.57; 95% CI, 1.13-2.18; P=0.007]. It also appeared higher among HIV-positive patients and PrEP users than among HIV-negative patients who did not use PrEP (76.5% and 80% versus 42.5%), although not statistically significant in a multivariate analysis (aOR, 1.20; 95% CI, 0.89–1.62; P=0.24 and aOR, 1.17; 95% CI, 0.89–1.56; P=0.26, respectively). Nevertheless, PrEP users had a higher rate of fluoroquinolone resistance than HIV-negative non-PrEP users (33.3% versus 4.9%; aOR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.09–1.60; P=0.005), but non-significantly higher rates were observed among MSM compared with MSW (12.3% versus 7.7%, P=0.63) and among HIV-positive patients compared with HIVnegative non-PrEP users (5.6% versus 4.9%, P=0.91). Three (4.2%) HIV-negative MSM patients harboured dual-resistant strains that belonged to three distinct genotypes.

We compared the *mapB*-based genotype distribution in different groups in which the mapB diversity was calculated: (i) MSM versus MSW; (ii) HIV-positive versus HIV-negative; (iii) PrEP users versus non-PrEP users; (iv) urine versus rectal samples; and (v) macrolide- and fluoroquinolone-resistant versus susceptible strains. The first three comparisons revealed similar mapB diversity. Nevertheless, ST2 was significantly more frequent in MSW than in MSM (21.4% versus 3.2%, P=0.014) and ST108 was more frequent in PrEP users than in non-PrEP users (12.5% versus 1.6%, P=0.039). Rectal samples revealed a lower mgpB diversity compared with urine samples (0.804 versus 0.966, respectively), and ST4 was over-represented in rectal samples (43.8% versus 10.9%, P = 0.0009). Interestingly, although similar mapB diversity was found among fluoroquinolone-resistant and -susceptible strains (0.964 versus 0.924, respectively), lower genetic diversity was found among macrolide-resistant strains (0.870) compared with macrolide-susceptible strains (0.978). Macrolide-resistant M. genitalium strains were assigned to only 15 mgpB types of the 38 types reported here (versus 25 in susceptible strains), with ST62

and ST153 more frequent than in susceptible strains (14% versus 0%, P=0.03, for both STs). Additionally, all ST62 *M. genitalium* strains harboured an A2059G substitution, whereas all ST153 strains harboured an A2058G mutation (Table S1). Furthermore, 85.7% (6/7) and 100% (5/5) of men infected with ST62 and ST153, respectively, were MSM.

Discussion

Our results confirm the high discriminatory power of the mgpB/ MG 309 typing method.¹⁰⁻¹² Identical genotypes found between concurrent samples and most subsequent samples from the same patients confirm the stability over time (up to 216 days in this study) and the reproducibility of the method. Despite the high genetic heterogeneity, our results revealed predominant mapB STs, mainly ST4 (23.8%), followed by ST153, ST62 and ST2. The high prevalence of ST4 has been reported previously in studies conducted in Germany¹² and France,¹⁰ but not in Spain, where ST5 was more frequent.⁹ Additionally, in our study ST4 was found in 43.8% of the rectal samples, suggesting that M. genitalium ST4 strains might be more easily transmitted by anal intercourse. Notably, ST2 was predominant in MSW, suggesting a possible different way of spreading for the mgpB STs in the MSM and MSW populations, likely in relation to sexual networks. Nevertheless, no significant difference in overall mapB diversity was observed between MSM and MSW in the present study. The limited overall concordance between M. genitalium genotypes and sexual behaviours suggests that the spread of an M. genitalium genotype is not limited to a specific sexual network. Sexual network bridging may scramble the genotype distribution patterns.

In this study, the rate of macrolide and fluoroauinolone resistance was high compared with the prevalences of resistance measured in other European non-Nordic countries.⁴ However, as previously reported in France and several other countries,^{4–7,9} high rates of macrolide-resistant M. genitalium are commonly found among MSM and PrEP users, suggesting that specific *M. genitalium* infection management should be implemented in these populations. As in other studies,^{9,11,12,15} a large distribution of M. genitalium genotypes was found among macrolide- and fluoroquinolone-resistant strains, supporting the hypothesis of a multiclonal spread of resistance in this species, likely associated with the consequences of antibiotic selection pressure on diverse independent M. genitalium strains. Such a polyclonal spread of macrolide-resistant strains has also been demonstrated for Mycoplasma pneumoniae, the closest species phylogenetically.¹⁷ Nevertheless, our analysis revealed lower *mgpB* diversity within macrolide-resistant M. genitalium strains, associated with an overrepresentation of ST62 and ST153 in a population mostly composed of MSM. This finding may result from the combination of antimicrobial drug exposure¹ and close sexual transmission networks, as already reported for Neisseria gonorrhoeae.¹⁸ However, the fitness cost of macrolide resistance may also be involved. Although antibiotic resistance generally confers reduction of strain fitness,¹⁹ some macrolide-resistant *M. genitalium* genotypes may have a lower fitness cost or even increased fitness in relation to their own genetic background. Such increased fitness was previously reported in Helicobacter pylori isolates harbouring identical macrolide resistance-associated mutations.²⁰ Further studies are needed to assess this hypothesis. Overall, the lower mgpB diversity observed will have to be confirmed by larger studies because the limited number of participants and clinics from which participants were recruited are limitations of the present study.

In conclusion, although macrolide resistance spread appears polyclonal in *M. genitalium*, the lower genetic diversity of *mgpB* types found among macrolide-resistant strains may reflect an easier spread of a few specific *mgpB* types or the occurrence of sexual networks among MSM.

Funding

This work was supported by internal funding.

Transparency declarations

None to declare.

Supplementary data

Table S1 is available as Supplementary data at JAC Online.

References

1 Li Y, Su X, Le W *et al. Mycoplasma genitalium* in symptomatic male urethritis: macrolide use is associated with increased resistance. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; **70**: 805–10.

2 Unemo M, Salado-Rasmussen K, Hansen M *et al.* Clinical and analytical evaluation of the new Aptima *Mycoplasma genitalium* assay, with data on *M. genitalium* prevalence and antimicrobial resistance in *M. genitalium* in Denmark, Norway and Sweden in 2016. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2018; **24**: 533–9.

3 Murray GL, Bradshaw CS, Bissessor M *et al.* Increasing macrolide and fluoroquinolone resistance in *Mycoplasma genitalium*. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2017; **23**: 809–12.

4 Machalek DA, Tao Y, Shilling H *et al.* Prevalence of mutations associated with resistance to macrolides and fluoroquinolones in *Mycoplasma genita-lium*: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020; doi: 10.1016/51473-3099(20)30154-7.

5 Deborde M, Pereyre S, Puges M *et al.* High prevalence of *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection and macrolide resistance in patients enrolled in HIV preexposure prophylaxis program. *Med Mal Infect* 2019; **49**: 347–9.

6 Ducours M, Alleman L, Puges M *et al.* Incidence of sexually transmitted infections during pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV: a worrying outcome at 2 years! *Sex Transm Infect* 2019; **95**: 552.

7 McIver R, Jalocon D, McNulty A *et al.* Men who have sex with men with *Mycoplasma genitalium*-positive nongonococcal urethritis are more likely to have macrolide-resistant strains than men with only female partners: a prospective study. *Sex Transm Dis* 2019; **46**: 513–17.

8 Hjorth SV, Björnelius E, Lidbrink P *et al.* Sequence-based typing of *Mycoplasma genitalium* reveals sexual transmission. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006; **44**: 2078–83.

9 Fernández-Huerta M, Serra-Pladevall J, Esperalba J *et al.* Single-locus-sequence-based typing of the *mgpB* gene reveals transmission dynamics in *Mycoplasma genitalium. J Clin Microbiol* 2020; **58**: e1886–19.

10 Cazanave C, Charron A, Renaudin H *et al*. Method comparison for molecular typing of French and Tunisian *Mycoplasma genitalium*-positive specimens. *J Med Microbiol* 2012; **61**: 500–6.

11 Piñeiro L, Idigoras P, Cilla G. Molecular typing of *Mycoplasma genitalium*positive specimens discriminates between persistent and recurrent infections in cases of treatment failure and supports contact tracing. *Microorganisms* 2019; **7**: 609.

12 Dumke R, Rust M, Glaunsinger T. *mgpB* types among *Mycoplasma genitalium* strains from men who have sex with men in Berlin, Germany, 2016–2018. *Pathogens* 2020; **9**: 12.

13 Le Roy C, Hénin N, Pereyre S *et al*. Fluoroquinolone-resistant *Mycoplasma genitalium*, Southwestern France. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2016; **22**: 1677–9.

14 Ma L, Taylor S, Jensen JS *et al.* Short tandem repeat sequences in the *Mycoplasma genitalium* genome and their use in a multilocus genotyping system. *BMC Microbiol* 2008; **8**: 130.

15 Laumen JGE, van Alphen LB, Maduna LD *et al*. Molecular epidemiological analysis of *Mycoplasma genitalium* shows low prevalence of azithromycin resistance and a well-established epidemic in South Africa. *Sex Transm Infect* 2020; doi:10-1136/sextrans-2019-054371.

16 Goudet J. FSTAT, A Program to Estimate and Test Gene Diversities and Fixation Indices (version 2.9.3). 2001. https://www2.unil.ch/popgen/soft wares/fstat.htm.

17 Pereyre S, Charron A, Hidalgo-Grass C *et al*. The spread of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* is polyclonal in both an endemic setting in France and in an epidemic setting in Israel. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**: e38585.

18 Kenyon CR, Schwartz IS. Effects of sexual network connectivity and antimicrobial drug use on antimicrobial resistance in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2018; **24**: 1195–203.

19 Andersson DI. The biological cost of mutational antibiotic resistance: any practical conclusions? *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2006; **9**: 461–5.

20 Björkholm B, Sjölund M, Falk PG *et al.* Mutation frequency and biological cost of antibiotic resistance in *Helicobacter pylori. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001; **98**: 14607–12.